

## **Remarks**

In an Office Action dated March 27, 2007 the Examiner issued a restriction requirement.

In that Office Action the Examiner grouped the then extant claims into two groups.

Group I, comprised of Claims 1-16, were said to be drawn to a saccadic-motion detection device and system or detecting saccadic eye movements. Group II, comprised of Claim 17-34, was said to drawn to a system for detecting saccadic eye movements and method of processing saccadic motion materials. The Applicant hereby elects the claims of Group I, namely Claims 1-16 without traverse.